## FILED

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

JAN 24 2019

SUSAN Y SOONG

United States of America,	Case No. 18 - 450 Nomhern District COURT OAKLAND
Plaintiff, v.	) STIPULATED ORDER EXCLUDING TIME ) UNDER THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
u.s. v. Chen	) )
Defendant(s).	
Trial Act from Jan. 24, 2019 to Jan continuance outweigh the best interest of t	e record on 24, 2019, the court excludes time under the Speedy u. 25, 2019 and finds that the ends of justice served by the the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. See 18 U.S.C. § ang and bases this continuance on the following factor(s):
Failure to grant a continuar See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	nce would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice. (B)(i).
defendants, the natural or law, that it is unreasonal	the number of the prosecution, or the existence of novel questions of fact ble to expect adequate preparation for pretrial proceedings or the trial established by this section. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(ii).
Failure to grant a continuar taking into account the exe	nce would deny the defendant reasonable time to obtain counsel, reise of due diligence. <i>See</i> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuar counsel's other scheduled of See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	nce would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. o(B)(iv).
Failure to grant a continuar necessary for effective prepared 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)	nce would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time paration, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.  (B)(iv).
disposition of criminal case paragraph and — based on the time limits for a prelimextending the 30-day time	Fendant, and taking into account the public interest in the prompt es, the court sets the preliminary hearing to the date set forth in the first the parties' showing of good cause — finds good cause for extending inary hearing under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and for period for an indictment under the Speedy Trial Act (based on the . See Fed. R. Crim. P. 5.1; 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
DATED: 124/19	Kandis A. Westmore United States Magistrate Judge
STIPULATED: Attorney for Defe	Assistant United States Attorney